



# Mathematical Reasoning

## Mathematical Statements

A statement is a sentence which is either true or false, but not both simultaneously.

### Example

1. "I'm happy today !" is not a statement
2. "New delhi is in India", it is a statement
3. "x is a natural number", is not a statement

## Simple Statement

A statement is simple if it can not be broken down into two or more statement.

## Compound Statement

A compound statement is a statement which is made up of two or more statements. The individual statements are called component statements.

E.g., "All rational numbers are real and all real numbers are complex". Is a compound statement.

The two component statements are:

$p$  : All rational numbers are real.

$q$  : All real numbers are complex.

## Negation of a Statement

The denial of a statement is called the negation of the statement.

If  $p$  is a statement, then the negation of  $p$  is also a statement and is denoted by  $\sim p$  and read as 'not  $p$ '.

E.g., Consider a statement:

$p$  : sky is blue

Its negation is:

$\sim p$  : sky is not blue"

## Connectives

The word 'and' (conjunction) ( $\wedge$ )

Any two component statements can be connected by the word 'and' to form a compound statement.

The rules for the compound statement with 'and' are:

**Rule 1:** The compound statement with 'and' is true if all its component statements are true.

**Rule 2:** The compound statement with 'and' is false if some or all of its component statements are false.

The word 'or' (disjunction) ( $\vee$ )

Any two component statements can be connected by the word "or" to form a compound statement.

The rules for the compound statement with 'or' are:

**Rule 1:** A compound statement with an 'or' is true if one component statement is true or all the component statements are true.

**Rule 2:** A compound statement with an 'or' is false if all the component statements are false.

## Quantifiers

In mathematics, sometimes we come across many mathematical statements containing phrases "There exists" and "For every". These two phrases are called **quantifiers**. Phrase "for every (or for all)" is called the **universal quantifier** and the phrase "There exists" is known as the **existential quantifier**.

E.g.,  $p$  : For every real number  $x$ ,  $x$  is less than  $x + 1$ .

$q$  : There exists a capital for every country in the world.

## Implications

The statements of the form "If  $p$  then  $q$ ", " $p$  only if  $q$ ", and "if and only if" are called implications.

$p$  implies  $q$  is denoted by  $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \sim p \vee q$

if and only if is denoted by the symbol  $\Leftrightarrow$

## General Rules for Validating Statements

**Rule 1:** If  $p$  and  $q$  are mathematical statements, then in order to show that the statement " $p$  and  $q$ " is true, the following steps are followed.

**Case I:** Show that the statement  $p$  is true.

**Case II:** Show that the statement  $q$  is true.

**Rule 2:** In order to show that the statement " $p$  or  $q$ " is true, one must consider the following.

**Case I:** By assuming that  $p$  is false, show that  $q$  must be true.

**Case II:** By assuming that  $q$  is false, show that  $p$  must be true.

**Rule 3:** Statements with 'If-then'

In order to prove the statement "If  $p$  then  $q$ " we need to show that any of the following cases is true.

**Case I:** By assuming that  $p$  is true, show that  $q$  must be true.

**Case II:** By assuming that  $q$  is false, show that  $p$  must be false.

**Rule 4:** Statements with 'If and only if'

In order to prove the statement “ $p$  if and only if  $q$ ”, we need to show.

- (i) If  $p$  is true, then  $q$  is true and
- (ii) If  $q$  is true, then  $p$  is true.

## Method of Contradiction

To check whether a statement  $p$  is true, we assume that  $p$  is not true *i.e.*  $\sim p$  is true. Then, we arrive at some result which is contradictory to our assumption. Therefore, we conclude that  $p$  is true.

This method involves giving an example of a situation where the statement is not valid. Such an example is called a counter example.

## Important /Critical Points to Remember

### Truth Table

A table that shows the relationship between the truth value of compound statement.  $S(p, q, r, \dots)$  and the truth values of its sub-statements  $p, q, r, \dots$ , etc., is called the truth table of statement  $S$ . If  $p$  and  $q$  are two simple statements then truth table for basic logical connectives of:

| Conjunction |     |              | Disjunction |     |            |
|-------------|-----|--------------|-------------|-----|------------|
| $p$         | $q$ | $p \wedge q$ | $p$         | $q$ | $p \vee q$ |
| $T$         | $T$ | $T$          | $T$         | $T$ | $T$        |
| $T$         | $F$ | $F$          | $T$         | $F$ | $T$        |
| $F$         | $T$ | $F$          | $F$         | $T$ | $T$        |
| $F$         | $F$ | $F$          | $F$         | $F$ | $F$        |

  

| Negation |          | Conditional |     |                   |
|----------|----------|-------------|-----|-------------------|
| $p$      | $\sim p$ | $p$         | $q$ | $p \Rightarrow q$ |
| $T$      | $F$      | $T$         | $T$ | $T$               |
| $T$      | $F$      | $T$         | $F$ | $F$               |
| $F$      | $T$      | $F$         | $T$ | $T$               |
| $F$      | $T$      | $F$         | $F$ | $T$               |

### Biconditional

| $p$ | $q$ | $p \Rightarrow q$ | $q \Rightarrow p$ | $p \Leftrightarrow q$ or $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow p)$ |
|-----|-----|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$               | $T$               | $T$                                                                   |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$               | $T$               | $F$                                                                   |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$               | $F$               | $F$                                                                   |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$               | $T$               | $T$                                                                   |

## Logical Equivalence

Two compound statements  $S_1(p_1, q_1, r_1, \dots)$  and  $S_2(p_2, q_2, r_2, \dots)$  are said to be logically equivalent if they have the same truth values for all logical possibilities that is identical truth table.

If statements  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are equivalent then we write  $S_1 \equiv S_2$

**Tautology:** A statement is said to be a tautology if it is true for all logical possibilities.

**Contradiction:** A statement is a contradiction if it is false for all logical possibilities *i.e.* its truth value is always  $F$ .

## Duality

Two compound statements  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are said to be duals of each other if one can be obtained from the other by replacing  $\wedge$  by  $\vee$  and  $\vee$  by  $\wedge$ .

**Converse:** The converse of the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is defined as  $q \rightarrow p$ .

**Inverse:** The inverse of the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is defined as  $\sim p \rightarrow \sim q$

**Contrapositive:** The contrapositive of the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is defined as  $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$

## Negation of Compound Statements

(a) **Negation of conjunction:** If  $p$  and  $q$  are two statements then

$$\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$$

(b) **Negation of disjunction:** If  $p$  and  $q$  are two statements then  $\sim(p \vee q) \equiv \sim p \wedge \sim q$

(c) **Negation of implication:**

If  $p$  and  $q$  are two statements, then  $\sim(p \Rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \sim q$

(d) **Negation of Biconditional:**

If  $p$  and  $q$  are two statements, then

$$\sim(p \Leftrightarrow q) \equiv (p \wedge \sim q) \vee (q \wedge \sim p)$$

## Algebra of Statements

If  $p, q, r$  are any three statements then some law of algebra of statements are as follow:

(a) **Idempotent Laws**

$$(i) p \vee p \equiv p$$

$$(ii) p \wedge p \equiv p$$

(b) **Commutative Laws**

$$(i) p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$$

$$(ii) p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$$

(c) **Associative Law**

$$(i) (p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$$

$$(ii) (p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$$

(d) **Distributive Laws**

$$(i) p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$$

$$(ii) p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$$

(e) **De'Morgan's Law**

$$(i) \sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$$

$$(ii) \sim(p \vee q) \equiv \sim p \wedge \sim q$$

(f) **Contrapositive Laws**

For any statement  $p$ , we have

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv \sim q \Rightarrow \sim p$$

(g) **Involution Laws (Double Negation Laws)**

$$\sim(\sim p) \equiv p$$